UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/666,728	09/19/2003	Jennifer Amys	1640.001US1	6050
	7590 05/23/201 N, LUNDBERG & WC	EXAMINER		
P.O. BOX 2938 MINNEAPOLI		ADAMS, CHARLES D		
MINNEAPOLI	5, MIN 55402		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
		2164		
		NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
		05/23/2011	ELECTRONIC	

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

uspto@slwip.com request@slwip.com

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/666,728	AMYS ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
CHARLES D. ADAMS	2164		

		CHARLES D. ADAMIS		2104	
The MAILING DATE of this com	munication appe	ars on the cover sheet w	ith the c	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>02 May 2011</u> FAILS TO F	PLACE THIS APP	LICATION IN CONDITION	FOR AL	LOWANCE.	
<ol> <li>The reply was filed after a final rejection application, applicant must timely file on application in condition for allowance; (2 for Continued Examination (RCE) in cor periods:</li> </ol>	ie of the following (2) a Notice of Appe	replies: (1) an amendment, eal (with appeal fee) in com	, affidavit npliance v	r, or other evidence, whith 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request
a) The period for reply expiresmo	-	•			
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the r no event, however, will the statutory per Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, che MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION.	iod for reply expire la ck either box (a) or ( See MPEP 706.07(	ater than SIX MONTHS from the b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) Wift).	he mailing HEN THE	date of the final rejection FIRST REPLY WAS FII	on. LED WITHIN TWO
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR have been filed is the date for purposes of determing under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expect forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply receives may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. Source of APPEAL	ning the period of ext piration date of the s ed by the Office later	ension and the corresponding hortened statutory period for r than three months after the m	g amount o reply origin	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Offic	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on</li></ol>	37(a)), or any exter	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.3	37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	
AMENDMENTS	ny masi be mea w	ithin the time period set for		511141.57 (a).	
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after (a) They raise new issues that would (b) They raise the issue of new matte	require further cor r (see NOTE belo	nsideration and/or search (: w);	see NOT	E below);	
<ul><li>(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the</li><li> appeal; and/or</li></ul>	• •				ie issues ioi
(d) ☐ They present additional claims with NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.1	_	corresponding number of fi	nally reje	ected claims.	
4. The amendments are not in compliance		21. See attached Notice of	Non-Cor	npliant Amendment (	PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the foll					ŕ
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>	would be all	owable if submitted in a se	eparate, t	imely filed amendmer	nt canceling the
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed a how the new or amended claims would The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) Claim(s) allowed:	be rejected is prov		o) 🗌 will	be entered and an ex	xplanation of
Claim(s) objected to:					
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1 and 3</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:					
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE					
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed afte because applicant failed to provide a sh was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR</li> </ol>	owing of good and 1.116(e).	d sufficient reasons why the	e affidavi	t or other evidence is	necessary and
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed afte entered because the affidavit or other e showing a good and sufficient reasons v</li> </ol>	vidence failed to o why it is necessary	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under $\gamma$ and was not earlier preser	er appea nted. Se	ll and/or appellant fail ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1	s to provide a ).
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence is enter REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHE</li> </ol>		n of the status of the claims	s after er	ntry is below or attach	ed.
11. The request for reconsideration has be See Continuation Sheet.		t does NOT place the appli	ication in	condition for allowan	ce because:
<ul><li>12. ☐ Note the attached Information <i>Disclost</i></li><li>13. ☒ Other: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u>.</li></ul>	ure Statement(s). (	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).			
/Charles Rones/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2	164				

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The proposed amendments to the claims, defining the electronic data as including payment related data, narrows the scope of the claims and would require further consideration and search.

Continuation of 13. Other: Applicant argues that "the amendment to claim 1 merely clarifies what was previously present in the claim. Applicant respectfully submits that the amendments do not modify the scope of the claim and therefore do not require additional searching." In response to this argument, it is noted that "payment related data" is narrower in scope than "e-commerce activity." Furthermore, it is noted that the cited passage of Gibson et al. (11:19-12:23) indicates that "payment related data" is included with the data relating to the transaction.

Applicant also argues that "Gibson is intrinsically involved in the generation of the electronic data as the cited transaction processing system of Gibson is involved in processing payments for merchants." It is noted that Gibson does not "generate electronic data," but rather simply outputs information submitted by one external system about a transaction to a second external system. It is noted that Applicant does not claim what is within the scope of "only for purpose of maintaining a central archive repository of e-commerce activity documentation." Applicant's specification seems to indicate that activities "only for purpose of maintaining a central archive repository" include general activities involved in data retention, such as retrieving data, delivering data, formatting data, and user interaction with the system containing the repository. Applicant's specification allows for the system containing the repository to request and receive information submitted by external systems (see Applicant's specification page 8, table 2, for submission of entries. See 10:2-21, for an example of allowing users to confirm payment, and 11:18-12:27, for allowing users to search the repository). It is noted that this is all that the system of Gibson is doing - retrieving electronic data (payment confirmation) from an external system, and allowing another user to access the payment confirmation data.